Proposed FY 2012-2013 Work Plan for the Western Interstate Energy Board

The work plan directs staff to undertake programs and projects to address issues of Board concern. The work plan is also designed to reflect the priorities of the Western Governors’ Association (WGA) and to contribute to the implementation of the WGA work plan.

The proposed work plan reflects the major shift approved by the Board in November 2009 to dedicate staff resources to implement sections of an American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) grant to WGA on interconnection-wide transmission planning, including WIEB staff support to the State-Provincial Steering Committee (SPSC), implementation of WREZ Phase 3 (coordinated schedules for procurement of generation from renewable energy zones), and assistance to WGA staff on transmission permitting. The work plan also supports the work of the Board’s three committees:

- High-Level Radioactive Waste (HLW);
- Energy Minerals Reclamation; and
- The Committee on Regional Electric Power Cooperation (CREPC).

The work plan does not include WIRAB activities. The WIRAB work plan and budget are approved separately by WIRAB; not the WIEB Board.

The table on the following page summarizes the proposed allocation of resources by program. Restricted revenues are derived from grants for dedicated purposes.
### Summary of Work Plan, Budget and Revenue Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Board Approved 2011-12</th>
<th>Proposed for 2012-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Affordable and Reliable Energy Supplies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) Electricity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Operation of CREPC, including market monitoring</td>
<td>$58,250</td>
<td>$48,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SPSC</td>
<td>$1,154,300</td>
<td>1,167,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Transmission expansion planning (50% of effort)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Integration of variable generation (includes wind integration efforts funded separately from the ARRA grant) (25% of effort)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Efficient use of the grid (25% of effort)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. WREZ Phase 2 (conceptual transmission plans)</td>
<td>$16,900</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. WREZ Phase 3 (coordinated procurement of renewable generation)</td>
<td>$87,200</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Electrification of the transportation sector</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) Petroleum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C) Natural gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Natural gas-electric interface</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Monitoring of natural gas markets (which is part of market monitoring under I.A.1 above)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Energy Byproducts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) High-level radioactive waste</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) Coal and uranium mine regulation and clean-up</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III. Information sharing and analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) Sharing information and experience (e.g., Board meetings)</td>
<td>$39,780</td>
<td>$16,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) Analysis and reporting of energy developments</td>
<td>$39,780</td>
<td>$16,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$1,451,210</td>
<td>$1,502,953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In comparison with the FY 2011-2012 work plan, this plan:

- Funds a new initiative to address issues at the interface of the natural gas and electric power industries.
- Increases funding for work on nuclear waste.
- Reflects the end of grants related to WREZ Phase 2 and wind developments. Some of the activities started under these grants are continued under the work of the SPSC.
- Funds Phase 3 of the WREZ project (coordinated procurement of renewables by utilities in the same renewable zones). However, the original plan of holding meetings of utilities and PUCs interested in the same zones could change based on two test discussions. Specifically, the focus could shift toward coordinated action among states on out-of-state renewable resources to meet state RPS requirements.

The Board will review the proposed work plan at its annual meeting on May 3.
MISSION

The Western Interstate Nuclear Compact, the legal basis of the Board, states that the purpose of the Board is to provide the instruments and framework for cooperative efforts to “enhance the economy of the West and contribute to the individual and community well-being of the region’s people.” The Board seeks to achieve this purpose through cooperative efforts among member states/provinces and through cooperative efforts between the West and the federal government in the field of energy. The Board also supports the efforts of the Western Governors’ Association through technical study and policy analysis.

WIEB has the following objectives:

- *Provide a forum for identifying, evaluating, and resolving regional energy-related issues;*
- *Build the capacity of states/provinces to effectively address issues related to the production, distribution and consumption of energy and its byproducts;*
- *Promote cooperative efforts between the U.S. federal government and Western states/provinces that foster the well-being of the region’s people;*
- *Provide for the timely analysis and sharing of information among Western states/provinces on energy-related issues; and*
- *Provide policy and technical support to the Western Governors’ Association.*
DISCUSSION OF PROGRAMS

Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREPC</td>
<td>WIEB’s Committee on Regional Electric Power Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FERC</td>
<td>Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLW</td>
<td>High-Level Radioactive Waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Load-Serving Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NERC</td>
<td>North American Electric Reliability Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REZ</td>
<td>Renewable Energy Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPPC</td>
<td>WECC’s Transmission Expansion Planning Policy Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>Western Electricity Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGA</td>
<td>Western Governors’ Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIRAB</td>
<td>Western Interconnection Regional Advisory Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WREZ</td>
<td>Western Renewable Energy Zones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Affordable and Reliable Energy Supplies

I.A. Electricity

1) Operation of CREPC
2) Input into Topic A
3) WREZ Phase 3
4) Electrification of the transportation sector

GOAL 
Promote actions that contribute to the goal of affordable and reliable electricity supplies. 
(Related WGA Resolution 10-15 Transmission and the Electric Power System)

BENEFITS 
Affordable and reliable supplies of electric power can provide significant economic benefits to the West. Businesses and citizens of the West spend more than $66 billion annually on electric power (or over $900 per capita). Given the interconnected nature of the Western electric power system and the diversity of governmental entities (e.g., state PUCs, state siting agencies, FERC, Canadian provinces) exercising regulatory authority over varying parts of the system, it is essential for coordination to take place among the relevant parties. This coordination requires communication and cooperation among states and provinces, the U.S. federal government, and industry participants in order to foster economic efficiency and achieve other state/provincial and regional objectives (including system reliability, stable pricing and the minimization of environmental impacts).

OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

1) Provide a forum for state/provincial regulators and energy agencies to share information and collectively interact with FERC, DOE and the Western electric power industry:
• Hold two joint meetings of the CREPC and the SPSC. The fall 2012 joint meeting will be held in conjunction with a Resource Planners’ Forum meeting.
• Hold webinars and workshops as needed to address issues identified by CREPC and the SPSC.
• Enhance communication between Western states/provinces and FERC on monitoring of electricity (and natural gas) markets through monthly market monitoring calls.

2) Pursuant to the DOE transmission grant, provide staff support to the SPSC in three areas, as outlined below. (The priority work in these three areas is reviewed and adjusted as needed at each SPSC meeting.)

• Input into transmission expansion planning:
  o Provide information to WECC to enable it to execute the SPSC’s study requests. ¹
  o Work with the SPSC and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory to refine load forecast information used in WECC modeling and develop information on future penetration of distributed generation.
  o Help the SPSC shape WECC’s first 20-year interconnection-wide transmission plan and second 10-year interconnection-wide transmission plan, due to DOE in September 2013.

• Make more efficient use of the existing grid:
  o Organize a Transmission Technology Forum in late 2012.
  o Develop an internet-based tool to allow for the analysis of flows, schedules and Available Transfer Capacity over major transmission paths in the Western Interconnection.

• Lower the cost of integrating variable generation (wind and solar):
  o Support the states/provinces in exploring the potential benefits of a Western Energy Imbalance Market (an EIM would also contribute to the goal of making more efficient use of the existing grid by using transmission capacity that goes unused in real-time);
  o Implement an internet “dashboard” to convey information on utility actions or plans to lower the cost of integrating variable generation. This will also involve additional surveys of current utility practices (e.g., wind and solar integration charges).

3) Organize a third interconnection-wide Resource Planners’ Forum for utilities and states/provinces that will be held in conjunction with the fall 2012 CREPC/SPSC meeting.

4) Under the DOE ARRA transmission planning grant to WGA, assist in the execution of WREZ Phase 3 (coordinated procurement of generation from renewable energy zones).

5) Assist WGA in developing and implementing recommendations to improve the efficiency of transmission permitting processes.

¹ SPSC has several study requests pending at WECC, including a 20-year technology breakthrough case, a 20-year low carbon case, and a series of 10-year studies that test: (1) the robustness of the expected transmission system under different scenarios, (2) the enhancement of the reliability analysis of the 2022 Common Case, (3) the incorporation of modeling changes to better reflect real world conditions, and (4) the evaluation of the economics of alternative locations of renewable generation.
6) Meet contractual requirements under a WGA-WIEB transmission planning contract.

**BUDGET**  $1,297,593\(^2\)

Of this amount, $23,550 is from WIEB dues; $25,000 is from the Western Conference of Public Service Commissions to support the operation of CREPC; $80,000 is from the WREZ Phase 3 contract; and $1,167,593 is to support the SPSC. Of the funds to support the SPSC, $313,000 is for state/provincial travel; $340,472 is for contractors; $34,200 is for SPSC meetings; and $479,922 is for WIEB salaries, staff travel and overhead.

**I.B. Petroleum**

No activities would be undertaken in this area.

**I.C. Natural Gas**

**GOAL** Address issues important to states/provinces at the interface of the natural gas and Western electricity industries.

**BENEFITS** A seamless interface of the natural gas and electric industries will improve the reliable supply of energy to Western consumers, lower the cost of integrating variable generation needed to meet state and provincial renewable energy policies, and better inform state/provincial decision-makers on the advantages and risks of growing reliance on natural gas-fired generation.

**OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES**

1) Expand the dialogue on natural gas/electric interface issues among state/provincial policymakers and regulators and utility resource planners and the Western natural gas industry. This will occur at a joint Resource Planners’ Forum and CREPC/SPSC meeting in the fall of 2012.

2) Begin development of the elements of a regional natural gas security analysis: The outline of such an analysis will be vetted with experts in the natural gas and electric industries and at the fall 2012 joint Resource Planners’ Forum and CREPC/SPSC meeting.

3) Assemble information and analysis on the adequacy of the natural gas infrastructure in the West, including information on the value of upsizing pipelines and adding gas storage (including use of old gas holders and location of gas storage near generation) to address natural gas security. This will be discussed at the fall joint Resource Planners’ Forum and CREPC/SPSC meeting.

4) Monitor any WIRAB work on natural gas supply interruptions and electric system reliability.

\(^2\) Note that under the SPSC’s charter, decisions on the expenditure of consulting funds are made by a vote of the SPSC and subject to competitive bid for contracts over $25,000. State travel continues to be below the amount estimated in the DOE grant.
5) Identify successful elements of existing cross-industry coordination efforts (e.g., Northwest, California ISO, and Florida).

6) Add information to the proposed CREPC/SPSC dashboard on integration of variable generation related to the impact of more coordinated actions between the natural gas and electricity industry on the cost of integrating variable generation.

7) Monitor and report on responses to the FERC NOPR on the natural gas/electric industry interface, including views on the need for new standards.

8) Continue participation in FERC via market monitoring as reflected under I.A.

BUDGET

$80,000 from funding of work by the State-Provincial Steering Committee.³

II. Energy Byproducts

A. Safe high-level radioactive waste transportation shipments

GOAL To ensure adequate preparations are made to support the safe and uneventful transportation of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste in the West and to provide technical support to WGA on nuclear waste issues. (WGA Resolutions 11-3 The Storage and Disposal of Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel and 11-5 Transportation of Radioactive Waste, Radioactive Materials, and Spent Nuclear Fuel)

BENEFITS Protect citizenry from unnecessary risks from the transportation of spent fuel and HLW and ensure that the costs of preparations for shipments are borne by the beneficiaries of such shipments.

OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

1) Maintain the Board’s High-Level Radioactive Waste Committee.

2) Meet the nuclear-related informational needs of Western states.

3) Assist Western states’ participation in the reformulation of the nation’s nuclear waste program. Topics include:
   a. Key lawsuits and their implications for program reformulation;
   b. DOE and NRC initiatives in the absence of legislative change (e.g., development of a generic disposal standard or siting criteria);
   c. Congressional initiatives to amend or replace the Nuclear Waste Policy Act;
   d. Development and advocacy of Western states’ positions regarding host state siting roles and transportation system design;

³ Note that expenses related to the work of WIRAB on the natural gas/electric interface are reflected in the WIRAB budget.
e. Responses to initiatives for early removal of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) from shutdown reactor sites;
f. Monitoring individual state initiatives (in the West and elsewhere) regarding the reformulated nuclear waste program; and
g. Consideration of the merits of separation of HLW and SNF waste streams, and of regional fairness in implementation of the reformulated program.

4) Participate in the NRC Extended Storage and Transportation inquiry, and in the related update to its Waste Confidence Rule, which has implications for new plant licensing and license extensions for currently operating nuclear plants. Participation includes:
   a. Participation in the NRC Extended Storage/Transportation inquiry;
   b. Participation in the NRC Waste Confidence EIS formulation and process;
   c. Participation in related NRC rulemaking considerations; and
   d. Reviewing findings from other inquiries (including state government) related to the above.

5) Assess selected prospective DOE nuclear waste transportation-storage-disposal campaigns on their own terms and with attention to precedents regarding SNF and HLW. Examples include the disposition of Greater-Than-Class-C radioactive waste and disposition of U-233. Specifically:
   a. Identify and help resolve regional transportation concerns to permit the safe and uneventful transportation of SNF and HLW in the West.
   b. Provide technical expertise in support of WGA nuclear waste programs and policies.

**BUDGET**

$80,000 with $48,500 from a grant from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and $31,500 from WIEB dues.

**B. Successful coal and uranium mine reclamati**

**GOAL** To improve Western state programs to regulate coal and uranium mining.

**BENEFITS** Improved administration of state mine regulatory programs will lessen environmental impacts from coal and uranium mining.

**OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES**

As needed, hold meetings and conference calls of the WIEB Energy Minerals Reclamation Committee to address issues such as: (1) technical assistance to state programs, (2) regulatory grants, (3) oversight of state programs by the federal Office of Surface Mining, and (4) monitoring of uranium mining reclamation.

**BUDGET** $14,000
III. Information Exchange

A. Expand the quality and timeliness of interstate sharing of information and experiences

GOAL To improve the quality of state/provincial decision-making and to coordinate interstate actions affecting regional energy-related interests through the timely sharing of quality information.

BENEFITS Rapid sharing of information among states/provinces that: (1) enables coordinated action; (2) avoids unnecessary conflicts resulting from misunderstandings; and (3) reduces costs to individual states and provinces by allowing one state/province to benefit from the experience and analyses of another.

OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

1) Hold meetings to share information and experience on specific topics of common interest.

2) Promote an understanding of the objectives of each state/province to allow increased reliance by one state/province on another state/province for information and analysis.

BUDGET $16,405

B. Analysis and reporting of energy developments

GOAL Provide states/provinces and WGA with timely information and analysis on events affecting their energy-related interests. Issue an annual report that provides a synopsis of Board activities and fulfills the statutory requirements of the Western Interstate Nuclear Compact (establishing the Board’s legal basis).

BENEFITS Analysis and dissemination of information on energy-related developments affecting the West can be done at lower cost by a central staff than by each individual state/province. Capturing these economies of scale lowers costs to individual states/provinces and improves understanding of developments outside of one’s borders.

OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

1) Conduct special analyses at the request of states and provinces and WGA.

2) Prepare and post the Board’s annual report on the WIEB homepage.

BUDGET $16,405